

Nonlinear theory of flame front instability

Kirill A. Kazakov^{1,2*} and Michael A. Liberman^{1,3†}

¹*Department of Physics, Uppsala University, Box 530, S-751 21, Uppsala, Sweden*

²*Moscow State University, Physics Faculty, Department of Theoretical Physics,
117234, Moscow, Russian Federation*

³*P. Kapitsa Institute for Physical Problems, Russian Academy of Sciences,
117334, Moscow, Russian Federation*

Abstract

Nonlinear non-stationary equation describing evolution of weakly curved premixed flames with arbitrary gas expansion, subject to the Landau-Darrieus instability, is derived. The new equation respects all the conservation laws to be satisfied across the flame front, as well as correctly takes into account influence of vorticity, generated in the flame, on the flame front structure and flame velocity. Analytical solutions of the derived equation are found.

82.33.Vx, 47.20.-k, 47.32.-y

Typeset using REVTeX

*E-mail: *Kirill.Kazakov@fysik.uu.se*

†E-mail: *Michael.Liberman@fysik.uu.se*

I. INTRODUCTION

Description of premixed flame propagation is, in essential, the description of development of the Landau-Darrieus (LD) instability [1,2] of zero-thickness flames. Given arbitrary flame front configuration, its evolution is determined by the exponential growth of unstable modes, eventually stabilized by the nonlinear mode interaction. By themselves, the nonlinear effects are not sufficient to stabilize flame propagation, since the spectrum of unstable perturbations of a zero-thickness flame is unbounded. In many cases, however, an upper bound for the mode wavenumber is provided by the heat conduction – species diffusion processes in the flame, which govern the evolution of short-wavelength perturbations [3]. The flame propagation is thus described as the nonlinear propagation of interacting modes of zero-thickness front, with an effective short-wavelength cut-off described at the hydrodynamic scale by means of an appropriate modification of the evolution equation and the conservation laws at the flame front [4].

In this purely hydrodynamic formulation, the flame dynamics is governed essentially by the only parameter – the gas expansion coefficient θ , defined as the ratio of the fuel density and the density of burnt matter. Unfortunately, in general it is very difficult to reduce the complete system of hydrodynamic equations governing flame dynamics to a single equation for the flame front position. This is mainly because the nonlinearity of flame dynamics cannot be considered perturbatively. For instance, it can be shown that in the regime of steady flame propagation, the flame front slope can be considered small only if the gas expansion is small ($\theta \rightarrow 1$), while for flames with $\theta = 6 \div 8$ it is of the order $2 \div 3$ (discussion of this issue can be found in Ref. [8]). At the early stages of development of the LD-instability, however, the perturbation analysis is fully justified, and the equation describing nonlinear propagation of the flame front can be obtained in a closed form. Within accuracy of the second order in the flame front slope such an equation was obtained by Zhdanov and Trubnikov (ZT) [5], without taking into account the influence of the effects related to finite flame thickness, mentioned above. The latter were included in the ZT-equation *ad hoc* by Joulin [6].

Concerning the ZT-equation and its modification, we would like to note the following.

1) Although this equation respects all the conservation laws to be satisfied across the flame front, it was derived on the basis of a certain model assumption concerning the flow structure downstream. Namely, it was assumed that the velocity field can be represented as a superposition of a potential mode and an “entropy wave”, so that the pressure field is expressed through the former by the usual Bernoulli equation. The generally nonlocal relation between the pressure and velocity fields is thus rendered *local algebraic*, which allows simple reduction of the system of hydrodynamic equations to the single equation for the flame front position. Being valid at the linear stage of development of the LD-instability, this model assumption is, of course, unjustified in general.

2) It was assumed in the course of derivation of the ZT-equation that not only the front slope, but also the value of the front position itself is a small quantity. As a result, the ZT-equation turns out to be non-invariant with respect to space translations in the direction of flame propagation. Practical consequence of this assumption is the unnecessary limitation of the range of validity of the equation: the space and time intervals should be taken sufficiently small to ensure that the deviation of the front position from the initial unperturbed plane

is small everywhere.

The purpose of this paper is to show that the only assumption of smallness of the flame front slope is actually sufficient to derive an equation describing the nonlinear development of the LD-instability to the leading (second) order of nonlinearity. Surprisingly, this equation turns out to be of a more simple structure than that of the ZT-equation. This simplification is due to existence of a representation of the flow equations at the second order, which can be called *transverse*. In this representation, the system of equations governing the flame propagation can be brought into the form in which dependence of all dynamical quantities on the coordinate in the direction of flame propagation is rendered purely parametric.

Let us consider the question of existence of this representation, and more generally, the meaning of the weak nonlinearity expansion, in more detail. First of all, the following important aspect of the problem should be emphasized. The curved flame propagation is an essentially nonlocal process, in that the presence of vorticity produced in the flame implies that the relations between flow variables downstream generally cannot be put into the form in which the value of one variable at the front surface can be expressed entirely in terms of other variables taken at the same surface. For instance, the value of the pressure field at the flame front depends not only on the gas velocity distribution along the front, but also on its distribution in the bulk. This means in turn that the equation describing the flame front evolution cannot generally be written in a closed form, *i.e.*, as an equation which expresses the time derivative of the front position via its spatial gradients, because the gas dynamics in the bulk depends, in particular, on the boundary conditions for the burnt matter. In the framework of the weak nonlinearity expansion, this non-locality shows itself as the necessity to increase the differential order of the equation for the flame front position. For example, it was shown in Ref. [8] that in order to take into account influence of the vorticity drift on the structure of stationary flames with the accuracy of $(\theta - 1)^4$ in the asymptotic expansion for $\theta \rightarrow 1$ (which is the relevant weak nonlinearity expansion in the stationary case), one has to increase the differential order of the integro-differential equation by one as compared to the Sivashinsky equation (the latter is of the second order in $\theta - 1$, corresponding to the approximation in which the gas flow is potential on both sides of the front). Roughly speaking, the nonlocal relations, being *integral* from the non-perturbative point of view, are treated *differential* of infinite order in the framework of the weak nonlinearity expansion.

Let us now turn back to our present purpose of derivation of equation for the front position at the second order of nonlinearity. Remarkably, it turns out that this approximation is exceptional in that the above-mentioned nonlocal complications do not arise in this case. We will give now a simple illustration of this important fact. Let us consider a weakly curved flame propagating in z -direction with respect to an initially uniform fuel, and denote $\mathbf{x}$ the transverse coordinates. If the flame front position is described by equation $z = f(\mathbf{x}, t)$, then the condition that front is only weakly curved implies that $\partial f(\mathbf{x}, t)/\partial \mathbf{x}$ is small, and so is the gas velocity perturbation $\delta \mathbf{v}$. From the continuity equation

$$\text{div} \mathbf{v} = 0$$

and the Euler equations

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v} \nabla) \mathbf{v} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \nabla P,$$

one has

$$\nabla^2 P = -\rho \partial_i v_k \partial_k v_i, \quad (1)$$

where summation over repeated indices is understood. Equation (1) implies

$$P(z, \mathbf{x}, t) = -\rho \int dz' d\mathbf{x}' G(z, \mathbf{x}, z', \mathbf{x}', t) \partial_i v_k(z', \mathbf{x}', t) \partial_k v_i(z', \mathbf{x}', t) + \Omega(z, \mathbf{x}, t), \quad (2)$$

where $\Omega(z, \mathbf{x}, t)$ is a local function of the flow variables, satisfying $\nabla^2 \Omega = 0$, and $G(z, \mathbf{x}, z', \mathbf{x}', t)$ is the Green function of the Laplace operator, appropriate to the given boundary condition. Note that the latter, being a condition on the pressure jump across the flame, is imposed only at the flame front. Since the unperturbed velocity field is spatially uniform, one has from Eq. (2) for the curvature induced pressure variation δP

$$\delta P(z, \mathbf{x}, t) = -\rho \int dz' d\mathbf{x}' G(z, \mathbf{x}, z', \mathbf{x}', t) \partial_i \delta v_k(z', \mathbf{x}', t) \partial_k \delta v_i(z', \mathbf{x}', t) + \delta \Omega(z, \mathbf{x}, t). \quad (3)$$

Next, let us denote δ_x the characteristic length of the front perturbation. Then the corresponding length in z -direction

$$\delta_z \sim \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right| \delta_x.$$

In other words, integration over z' in Eq. (3) is effectively carried out for $z' \in (f(\mathbf{x}, t), f(\mathbf{x}, t) + \delta_z)$. Furthermore, as we will see in the sections below,

$$|\delta \mathbf{v}| \sim \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \right| \sim \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right|.$$

Therefore, if one is interested in evaluating the pressure variation at the front, one can rewrite Eq. (3) with the accuracy of the second order

$$\begin{aligned} \delta P(f(\mathbf{x}, t), \mathbf{x}, t) &= -\rho \int d\mathbf{x}' \tilde{G}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}', t) \partial_i \delta v_k(f(\mathbf{x}', t), \mathbf{x}', t) \partial_k \delta v_i(f(\mathbf{x}', t), \mathbf{x}', t) \\ &\quad + \delta \Omega(f(\mathbf{x}, t), \mathbf{x}, t), \\ \tilde{G}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}', t) &\equiv \int dz' G(f(\mathbf{x}, t), \mathbf{x}, z', \mathbf{x}', t). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

As we noted above, “boundary condition” for the pressure field is imposed only at the flame front. Therefore, the Green function $\tilde{G}$ is independent of any other conditions relevant to the flow of the burnt matter (*e.g.*, boundary conditions on the tube walls, in the case of flame propagation in a tube). The same is true for the function Ω , since the value of Ω at a given point depends only on the value of flow variables at the same point. We thus see that Eq. (4) is an integral relation between local functions of the flow variables, defined on the front surface, which is independent of the flow dynamics in the bulk. Furthermore, since the right hand side of Eq. (4) is of the second order, it is not difficult to show, using the linear decomposition of the flow field into potential and vortex components, that z -derivatives of the velocity can be expressed via its derivatives along the flame front, bringing this equation into the transverse representation. This implies that at the second order, there exists a universal equation which describes the flame front dynamics in terms of the front position alone. In practice, it is actually more convenient to work with the differential form of the flow equations, rather than integral. How their transverse representation can be derived will be shown in detail in Sec. II A. On the basis of this result, the nonlinear non-stationary equation will be derived in Sec. III. Its analysis is carried out analytically using the method of pole decomposition in Sec. IV. The obtained results are summarized in Sec. V.

II. FLOW EQUATIONS AND CONSERVATION LAWS

Let us consider a 2D flame propagating in the negative z -direction with the normal velocity U_f with respect to an initially uniform quiescent combustible gas mixture. Denoting x the transverse coordinate, we introduce dimensionless space and time variables $(\eta, \xi) \equiv (x/R, z/R)$, $\tau \equiv tU_f/R$, where R is a characteristic gasdynamic length of the problem. Then the normalized fluid velocity $\mathbf{v} = (v_x/U_f, v_z/U_f) \equiv (w, u)$ and pressure $\Pi = (P - P(\xi = -\infty))/\rho_- U_f^2$ obey the following equations in the bulk

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial \eta} = 0, \quad (5)$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi} + w \frac{\partial u}{\partial \eta} = -\frac{1}{\varrho} \frac{\partial \Pi}{\partial \xi}, \quad (6)$$

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial \tau} + u \frac{\partial w}{\partial \xi} + w \frac{\partial w}{\partial \eta} = -\frac{1}{\varrho} \frac{\partial \Pi}{\partial \eta}, \quad (7)$$

where ϱ is the fluid density scaled on the density ρ_- of the fuel.

The above flow equations are complemented by the following conservation laws to be satisfied across the flame front [4]

$$u_+ - u_- - \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta}(w_+ - w_-) = (\theta - 1)N, \quad (8)$$

$$w_+ - w_- + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta}(u_+ - u_-) = \varepsilon \ln \theta \left(\hat{D}w_- + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta} \hat{D}u_- + \frac{1}{N} \hat{D} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta} \right), \quad (9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_+ - \Pi_- &= -(\theta - 1) + \varepsilon(\theta - 1) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \left(\frac{1}{N} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta} \right) \\ &+ \frac{\varepsilon \ln \theta}{N} \left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \tau^2} + 2w_- \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \tau \partial \eta} + w_-^2 \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \eta^2} + 2\hat{D}N - \frac{1}{N} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta} \frac{\partial N}{\partial \eta} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where

$$\hat{D} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} + \left(w_- + \frac{1}{N} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}, \quad N \equiv \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta} \right)^2},$$

and ε is the small dimensionless ratio of the flame thickness to the characteristic length.

Finally, the following so-called evolution equation

$$u_- - \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta} w_- - \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau} = N - \varepsilon \frac{\theta \ln \theta}{\theta - 1} \left(\frac{\partial N}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} (Nw_-) + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \eta^2} \right), \quad (11)$$

completes the above system of hydrodynamic equations and conservation laws, determining dynamics of the flame front itself.

Below, we will need the general solution of the flow equations (5)–(7) upstream. Going over to the rest frame of reference of the initially plane flame front, this solution is readily obtained as follows. Since the flow is potential at $\xi = -\infty$ (where $u = 1$, $w = 0$), it is potential for every $\xi < f(\eta, \tau)$ in view of the Thomson theorem [7], thus

$$u \equiv 1 + \tilde{u} = 1 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk \tilde{u}_k \exp(|k|\xi + ik\eta), \quad (12)$$

$$w = \hat{H}\tilde{u}, \quad (13)$$

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tau} + \hat{\Phi}\Pi + \frac{\hat{\Phi}}{2}(u^2 + w^2) = 0, \quad (14)$$

where the Hilbert operator $\hat{H}$ is defined by

$$(\hat{H}f)(\eta) = \frac{1}{\pi} \text{p.v.} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\zeta \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - \eta},$$

"p.v." denoting the principal value. Equations (12), (13) represent the general form of the potential velocity field satisfying the boundary conditions at $\xi = -\infty$, while Eq. (14) is nothing but the Bernoulli equation. Note that the 2D Landau-Darrieus operator $\hat{\Phi}$ is simply expressed through the Hilbert operator $\hat{\Phi} = -\partial\hat{H}$. Note also that although the relation $w = \hat{H}\tilde{u}$ between the velocity components upstream is nonlocal, it is expressed in terms of the transverse coordinate η only.

A. Bulk dynamics in transverse representation

Our next step is the reduction of the system of flow equations (5) – (7) to one equation in which the role of the coordinate ξ is purely parametric. For this purpose, it is convenient to introduce the stream function ψ via

$$u = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \eta}, \quad w = -\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \xi}. \quad (15)$$

The stream function satisfies the following equation

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} + \mathbf{v} \nabla \right) \nabla^2 \psi = 0. \quad (16)$$

1. First order approximation

To perform the weak nonlinearity expansion, it is convenient to explicitly extract zero-order values of the flow variables downstream

$$u = \theta + \tilde{u}, \quad \Pi = -\theta + 1 + \tilde{\Pi}. \quad (17)$$

Then in the linear approximation, Eq. (16) takes the form

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau} + \theta\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}\right)\nabla^2\psi^{(1)} = 0. \quad (18)$$

Its general solution can be written as a superposition of the potential and vorticity modes satisfying, respectively,

$$\nabla^2\psi_p^{(1)} = 0, \quad (19)$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau} + \theta\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}\right)\psi_v^{(1)} = 0. \quad (20)$$

General solution of Eq. (19) has the form analogous to Eqs. (12), (13)

$$u_p \equiv \theta + \tilde{u}_p = \theta + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk \tilde{u}_k \exp(-|k|\xi + ik\eta), \quad (21)$$

$$w_p = -\hat{H}\tilde{u}_p. \quad (22)$$

Differentiating Eq. (20) with respect to η we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\theta}\frac{\partial\tilde{u}_v}{\partial\tau} = \frac{\partial w_v}{\partial\eta}. \quad (23)$$

Next, linearizing Eq. (6) and using the above equations for the potential and vorticity modes, one finds

$$-\frac{1}{\theta}\frac{\partial\tilde{u}_p}{\partial\tau} + \hat{\Phi}(\tilde{\Pi}_p + \tilde{u}_p) = 0, \quad (24)$$

$$\Pi_v = 0. \quad (25)$$

With the help of Eqs. (22), (23), and (25) equation (24) can be rewritten as

$$\hat{\Phi}\tilde{\Pi} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial\eta} - \frac{1}{\theta}\frac{\partial\tilde{u}}{\partial\tau} = 0. \quad (26)$$

In this form, the flow equation governing dynamics downstream contains no explicit operation with the ξ -dependence of the flow variables. In other words, this dependence is rendered purely parametric. Let us now show that Eq. (26) can be generalized to take into account interaction of the perturbations.

2. Second order approximation

At the second order, Eq. (16) takes the form

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau} + \theta\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}\right)\nabla^2\psi = -\left(\tilde{u}^{(1)}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi} + w^{(1)}\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta}\right)\nabla^2\psi^{(1)}. \quad (27)$$

General solution of this inhomogeneous equation is the sum of general solution of the homogeneous equation, given by Eqs. (21)–(25), and of a particular solution $\psi_a^{(2)}$ which can be chosen to satisfy the following equation

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau} + \theta\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}\right)\nabla\psi_a^{(2)} = -\mathbf{v}^{(1)}\nabla^2\psi^{(1)}. \quad (28)$$

Written in components, Eq. (28) has the form

$$\frac{\partial\tilde{u}_a^{(2)}}{\partial\tau} + \theta\frac{\partial\tilde{u}_a^{(2)}}{\partial\xi} + w^{(1)}\left(\frac{\partial\tilde{u}^{(1)}}{\partial\eta} - \frac{\partial w^{(1)}}{\partial\xi}\right) = 0, \quad (29)$$

$$\frac{\partial w_a^{(2)}}{\partial\tau} + \theta\frac{\partial w_a^{(2)}}{\partial\xi} - \tilde{u}^{(1)}\left(\frac{\partial\tilde{u}^{(1)}}{\partial\eta} - \frac{\partial w^{(1)}}{\partial\xi}\right) = 0. \quad (30)$$

Next, retaining the second order terms in the Euler equation (6) and using Eqs. (19), (23), (30) one can obtain the following relation between the velocity and pressure fields

$$\frac{\partial w_p}{\partial\tau} + \theta\frac{\partial w_p}{\partial\xi} + \frac{\partial}{\partial\eta}\left(\theta\tilde{\Pi} + \frac{\tilde{u}^2 + w^2}{2}\right) = 0. \quad (31)$$

Finally, taking into account explicit structure of the potential mode and Eqs. (22), (23), (30) it is not difficult to verify that Eq. (31) can be rewritten in terms of the sum $(w, \tilde{u}) = \mathbf{v}_p^{(1)} + \mathbf{v}_v^{(1)} + \mathbf{v}_a^{(2)}$ as follows

$$\frac{\partial\tilde{u}}{\partial\tau} - \theta\frac{\partial w}{\partial\eta} - \hat{\Phi}\left(\theta\tilde{\Pi} + \frac{\tilde{u}^2 + w^2}{2}\right) + w\left(\frac{\partial\tilde{u}}{\partial\eta} + \frac{1}{\theta}\frac{\partial w}{\partial\tau} + \frac{\partial\tilde{\Pi}}{\partial\eta}\right) = 0, \quad (32)$$

which is the transverse representation of the flow equations at the second order of nonlinearity we are looking for.

III. NONLINEAR EQUATION FOR THE FLAME FRONT

Now we can show that the set of equations (8) – (11), (13), (14), and (32) can be reduced to one equation for the function $f(\eta, \tau)$. To this end, it remains only to rewrite the right hand sides of the conservation laws and evolution equation in the form in which transition on the flame surface ($\xi \rightarrow f(\eta, \tau)$) is performed *after* all differentiations, again bringing the latter to the transverse form. This is easily done using the continuity equation (5) and taking into account potentiality of the flow upstream

$$\frac{dw_-}{d\eta} = \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial\eta}\right)_- + \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial\xi}\right)_- \cdot f' = \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial\eta}\right)_- + \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial\eta}\right)_- \cdot f', \quad (33)$$

$$\hat{D}w_- = (\hat{D}w)_- + \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial\xi}\right)_- \cdot \hat{D}f = (\hat{D}w)_- + \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial\eta}\right)_- \cdot \hat{D}f, \quad (34)$$

$$\hat{D}u_- = (\hat{D}u)_- + \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial\xi}\right)_- \cdot \hat{D}f = (\hat{D}u)_- - \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial\eta}\right)_- \cdot \hat{D}f. \quad (35)$$

After having done this, one sees that the knowledge of explicit ξ -dependence of the flow variables turns out to be unnecessary. Roughly speaking, the ξ -dependence of a function $F(\xi, \eta, \tau)$ describing the flame front shape is known in advance, since the equation $F(\xi, \eta, \tau) = 0$ can always be brought into the form $\xi - f(\eta, \tau) = 0$ (with f many-valued, in general). To determine the flame front evolution, therefore, it is sufficient to find only (η, τ) -dependence of the functions involved. To put this intuitive reasoning in the formal way, it is convenient to introduce separate designations for the up- and downstream velocity and pressure fields, distinguishing them by the *superscripts* " - " and " + ", respectively. Then, setting $\xi = f(\eta, \tau)$, equations (13), (14), (32) together with the conservation laws (8) – (10) and evolution equation (11) can be rewritten identically as follows

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} w^- = \hat{H} \tilde{u}^- \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{u}^-}{\partial \tau} + \hat{\Phi} \Pi^- + \frac{\hat{\Phi}}{2} \{ (u^-)^2 + (w^-)^2 \} = 0 \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{u}^+}{\partial \tau} - \theta \frac{\partial w^+}{\partial \eta} - \hat{\Phi} \left(\theta \tilde{\Pi}^+ + \frac{(\tilde{u}^+)^2 + (w^+)^2}{2} \right) = -w^+ \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{u}^+}{\partial \eta} + \frac{1}{\theta} \frac{\partial w^+}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial \tilde{\Pi}^+}{\partial \eta} \right) \\ \tilde{u}^+ - \tilde{u}^- - \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta} (w^+ - w^-) = \frac{\theta-1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta} \right)^2 \\ w^+ - w^- + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta} (u^+ - u^-) = \varepsilon \ln \theta \left(\frac{\partial w^-}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \eta \partial \tau} \right) \\ \tilde{\Pi}^+ - \Pi^- = \varepsilon (\theta - 1) \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \eta^2} + \varepsilon \ln \theta \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \tau^2} \\ \tilde{u}^- - \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta} w^- - \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta} \right)^2 - \varepsilon \frac{\theta \ln \theta}{\theta - 1} \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{u}^-}{\partial \eta} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \eta^2} \right) \end{array} \right)_{\xi=f(\eta, \tau)} \quad (*)$$

Suppose we found a solution $f = f(\eta, \tau)$, $\mathbf{v}^- = \mathbf{v}^-(\xi, \eta, \tau)$, $\mathbf{v}^+ = \mathbf{v}^+(\xi, \eta, \tau)$, etc. of the set of equations in the large brackets in (*). Then, in particular, these equations are satisfied for $\xi = f(\eta, \tau)$. On the other hand, since no operation involving ξ appears in these equations, the function $f(\eta, \tau)$ is independent of a particular form of ξ -dependence of the flow variables. For the purpose of deriving an equation for $f(\eta, \tau)$, it is most convenient to work with the particular solution in which all the functions are ξ -independent, and to omit the large brackets in (*). Therefore, we can replace the above set of equations by the following

$$\omega^- = \hat{H} \tilde{v}^- \quad (36)$$

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{v}^-}{\partial \tau} + \hat{\Phi} \pi^- + \frac{\hat{\Phi}}{2} \{ (v^-)^2 + (\omega^-)^2 \} = 0 \quad (37)$$

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{v}^+}{\partial \tau} - \theta \frac{\partial \omega^+}{\partial \eta} - \hat{\Phi} \left(\theta \tilde{\pi}^+ + \frac{(\tilde{v}^+)^2 + (\omega^+)^2}{2} \right) = -\omega^+ \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{v}^+}{\partial \eta} + \frac{1}{\theta} \frac{\partial \omega^+}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial \tilde{\pi}^+}{\partial \eta} \right) \quad (38)$$

$$\tilde{v}^+ - \tilde{v}^- - \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta} (\omega^+ - \omega^-) = \frac{\theta-1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta} \right)^2 \quad (39)$$

$$\omega^+ - \omega^- + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta} (v^+ - v^-) = \varepsilon \ln \theta \left(\frac{\partial \omega^-}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \eta \partial \tau} \right) \quad (40)$$

$$\tilde{\pi}^+ - \pi^- = \varepsilon (\theta - 1) \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \eta^2} + \varepsilon \ln \theta \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \tau^2} \quad (41)$$

$$\tilde{v}^- - \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta} \omega^- - \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta} \right)^2 - \varepsilon \frac{\theta \ln \theta}{\theta - 1} \left(\frac{\partial \omega^-}{\partial \eta} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \eta^2} \right), \quad (42)$$

where v, ω , and π are the ξ -independent counterparts of the flow variables u, w , and Π , respectively, and

$$v^- \equiv 1 + \tilde{v}^-, \quad v^+ \equiv \theta + \tilde{v}^+. \quad (43)$$

The fact that now the function $f(\eta, \tau)$ does not enter the arguments of these variables allows us to avoid expanding them in powers of f , employed in Ref. [5]. In fact, such an expansion is irrelevant to the issue, since all the equations governing flame propagation are invariant with respect to the space translations, and therefore, all terms containing powers of undifferentiated f should appear in invariant combinations in the final equation for f . In view of this invariance, the function f itself does not need to be small even if the front is only weakly curved. We thus see that the f -dependence of the flow variables through their arguments must eventually cancel out in some way in any case.

Now, the system of Eqs. (36) – (42) can be transformed into one equation for the function $f(\eta, \tau)$. To simplify the derivation, the stabilizing effects due to the finite flame thickness will be taken into account only in the linear approximation. As we already mentioned in the Introduction, these effects are mainly taken into account in order to provide the short wavelength cutoff for the spectrum of the flame front perturbations. In view of this, the nonlinear corrections in the ε -terms are of little interest. Using Eq. (36), the “evolution equation” (42) can be rewritten within the accuracy of the second order

$$\tilde{v}^- = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta} \right)^2 + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta} \hat{H} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau} - \varepsilon \frac{\theta \ln \theta}{\theta - 1} \left(\hat{H} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \eta \partial \tau} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \eta^2} \right). \quad (44)$$

Next, solving Eqs. (39) – (41) with respect to v^+ , ω^+ , π^+ , and substituting the results into Eq. (38), one obtains an equation for the upstream variables $\tilde{v}^-$, ω^- , π^- , which can be further reduced to an equation for $\tilde{v}^-$ alone using Eqs. (36), (37). Upon substituting the expression (44) into the latter equation, one arrives at the nonlinear equation for the function $f(\eta, \tau)$, which we do not write explicitly because of its great complexity. It can be highly simplified using the first order LD-equation

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau} = \nu \hat{\Phi} f, \quad \nu = \frac{\theta}{\theta + 1} \left(\sqrt{1 + \theta - \frac{1}{\theta}} - 1 \right), \quad (45)$$

in the second order terms and in the terms containing ε . The above value for ν corresponds to the exponentially growing solution in the LD-theory. The nonlinear equation thus takes the form

$$\frac{\theta + 1}{2\theta} \hat{\Phi}^{-1} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \tau^2} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau} - \frac{\theta - 1}{2} \hat{\Phi} f + \tilde{\alpha} \hat{\Phi}^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta} \right)^2 + \tilde{\beta} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta} \right)^2 + \tilde{\gamma} (\hat{\Phi} f)^2 + \varepsilon \tilde{\delta} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \eta^2} = 0, \quad (46)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\alpha} &= \frac{(\nu + 1)^2}{4\theta^2} + \frac{3\nu + 1}{4} - \frac{\nu(\nu + 1)}{4\theta}, \\ \tilde{\beta} &= \nu + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\theta - 1}{4\theta} [(\nu + 1)^2 - \theta], \\ \tilde{\gamma} &= \frac{\theta - 1}{4\theta} \nu^2, \\ \tilde{\delta} &= -\frac{(\nu + 1) \ln \theta}{\theta - 1} \left(\frac{\theta + 1}{2} \nu + \theta \right) - \frac{\nu}{2} \ln \theta - \frac{\theta - 1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Following Zhdanov and Trubnikov [5], Eq. (46) can be further simplified by rewriting its linear part in the form

$$\frac{\theta+1}{2\theta}\hat{\Phi}^{-1}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial\tau^2} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial\tau} - \frac{\theta-1}{2}\hat{\Phi}f = \left(\frac{\theta+1}{2\theta}\hat{\Phi}^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau} + \frac{\theta-1}{2\nu}\right)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau} - \nu\hat{\Phi}\right)f. \quad (47)$$

Since we are only interested in the development of unstable modes of the front perturbations, which satisfy Eq. (45), we can transform the first factor in the right hand side of Eq. (47) as follows

$$\frac{\theta+1}{2\theta}\hat{\Phi}^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau} + \frac{\theta-1}{2\nu} \rightarrow \frac{\theta+1}{2\theta}\nu + \frac{\theta-1}{2\nu} = \sqrt{1+\theta-\frac{1}{\theta}}.$$

Therefore, Eq. (46) becomes

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial\tau} - \nu\hat{\Phi}f + \alpha\hat{\Phi}^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial\eta}\right)^2 + \beta\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial\eta}\right)^2 + \gamma(\hat{\Phi}f)^2 + \varepsilon\delta\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial\eta^2} = 0, \quad (48)$$

where

$$(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) = \frac{(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}, \tilde{\delta})}{\sqrt{1+\theta-\frac{1}{\theta}}}.$$

Finally, we would like to comment on the range of validity of the derived equation. Generally speaking, Eq. (48) is only applicable for description of the early stages of development of the LD-instability, since it is obtained under the assumption of smallness of the front slope. Even if the flame evolution is such that it smoothly ends up with the formation of a stationary configuration (instead of spontaneous turbulization), this assumption becomes generally invalid whenever the process of flame propagation is close to the stationary regime. In fact, it can be easily shown that the assumptions of stationarity and weak nonlinearity contradict each other (detailed discussion of this point can be found in Ref. [8]). Incidentally, the fact that the transition to the stationary regime in Eq. (48) is formally incorrect is clearly seen from its derivation given above. Namely, the stationary form of this equation depends on the way the first order relation (45) is used in the second order terms before time derivatives are omitted. Only in the case of small gas expansion ($\theta \rightarrow 1$) is weak nonlinearity approximation justified at all stages of development of the LD-instability, in which case Eq. (48) goes over to the well-known Sivashinsky equation [9]

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial\tau} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial\eta}\right)^2 = \frac{\theta-1}{2}\hat{\Phi}f - \varepsilon\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial\eta^2}, \quad (49)$$

since

$$\beta = \frac{1}{2} + O((\theta-1)^2), \quad \gamma = O((\theta-1)^3), \quad \delta = -1 + O(\theta-1), \quad \nu = \frac{\theta-1}{2} + O((\theta-1)^2).$$

In this respect, a natural question arises as to what extent equation (48) is actually valid when θ is arbitrary. Since the structure of higher order terms of the power expansion is unknown, it is very difficult to give even a rough estimate. Leaving this question aside, we will simply *assume* in what follows, that this equation is formally valid for all times. It will be shown below that at least in the case of flame propagation in narrow tubes, solutions to the stationary version of Eq. (48) are in reasonable agreement with the results of numerical experiments [14] for flames with the gas expansion coefficient up to $\theta \sim 3$.

IV. THE POLE DECOMPOSITION

As in the case of ZT-equation, development of the LD-instability of a plane flame can be described in terms of the pole dynamics. To show this, we first perform the following nonlinear transformation

$$f \rightarrow \phi = f + \alpha \hat{\Phi}^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta} \right)^2. \quad (50)$$

In terms of the new function ϕ , Eq. (48) takes the form, within the accuracy of the second order,

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \tau} - \nu \hat{\Phi} \phi + (\beta + \nu \alpha) \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \eta} \right)^2 + \gamma (\hat{\Phi} \phi)^2 + \varepsilon \delta \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial \eta^2} = 0. \quad (51)$$

Spatially periodic (with period $2b$) solutions of equations of the type (51) can be found using the following pole decomposition [6,10]

$$\phi(\eta, \tau) = \phi_0(\tau) + a \sum_{k=1}^{2P} \ln \sin \left[\frac{\pi}{2b} (\eta - \eta_k(\tau)) \right], \quad (52)$$

where the value of the amplitude a as well as dynamics of the complex poles $\eta_k(\tau)$, $k = 1, \dots, 2P$ are to be determined substituting this ansatz into Eq. (51). Since the function $\phi(\eta, \tau)$ is real for real η , the poles come in conjugate pairs; P is the number of the pole pairs. Requiring the $2b$ -periodic solutions to be symmetric with respect to the reflection $\eta \rightarrow -\eta$, one can obtain periodic as well as non-periodic solutions to Eq. (51) in the domain $\eta \in (0, b)$, satisfying the conditions $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \eta}(0, \tau) = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \eta}(b, \tau) = 0$, describing flame propagation in a tube of width b with ideal walls.

Using the formulae [6]

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \eta} &= -\frac{\pi a}{2b} \sum_{k=1}^{2P} \left\{ 1 + i \operatorname{sign}(\operatorname{Im} \eta_k) \cot \left[\frac{\pi}{2b} (\eta - \eta_k) \right] \right\}, \quad \operatorname{sign}(x) \equiv \frac{x}{|x|}, \\ \cot x \cot y &= -1 + \cot(x - y)(\cot y - \cot x), \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

it is not difficult to verify that Eq. (51) is satisfied by $\phi(\eta, \tau)$ taken in the form of Eq. (52), provided that

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \varepsilon \delta \chi, \\ \frac{\partial \phi_0}{\partial \tau} &= \chi(\sigma^2 P^2 - \nu \sigma P), \end{aligned}$$

and the poles $\eta_k(\tau)$, $k = 1, \dots, 2P$, satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \eta_k}{\partial \tau} &+ i \operatorname{sign}(\operatorname{Im} \eta_k) (\nu + 2P \gamma \sigma \chi) \\ -\sigma \chi \sum_{\substack{m=1 \\ m \neq k}}^{2P} \{ \gamma \operatorname{sign}(\operatorname{Im} \eta_k \operatorname{Im} \eta_m) - \beta - \nu \alpha \} \cot \left[\frac{\pi}{2b} (\eta_k - \eta_m) \right] &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

where the following notation is introduced

$$\sigma \equiv -\frac{\varepsilon\delta\pi}{b} > 0, \quad \chi \equiv (\beta + \nu\alpha - \gamma)^{-1}.$$

Since the application of pole decomposition to Eq. (51) is quite similar to that given in Refs. [6,10], we will present below only final results, referring the reader to these works for more detail.

Following Ref. [10], we first consider two poles (η_1, η_2) in the same half plane of the complex η , which are fairly close to each other, so that their dynamics is unaffected by the rest. Then one has from Eq. (54)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}(\eta_1 - \eta_2) = \frac{4\varepsilon\delta}{\eta_1 - \eta_2},$$

which indicates that the poles attract each other in the horizontal direction (parallel to the real axis), and repel in the vertical direction, tending to form alignments parallel to the imaginary axis. Furthermore, assuming that the pole dynamics ends up with the formation of such a “coalescent” stationary configuration, and using the fact that $\gamma < \beta + \nu\alpha$ (it is not difficult to verify that actually $\gamma/(\beta + \nu\alpha) < 1/3$), the following upper bound on the number of pole pairs P can be easily obtained from Eq. (54)

$$P \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\nu}{2\sigma}.$$

Still, for sufficiently wide tubes (such that $\sigma < \nu/3$), the solution (52) is not unique: different solutions corresponding to different numbers P of poles are possible. To find the physical ones, it is necessary to perform the stability analysis. Noting that the functional structure of Eq. (51) is very similar to that of Eq. (49), the stability analysis of Refs. [11]–[13], where it was carried out for the Sivashinsky equation, will be carried over the present case. According to this analysis, for a given not-too-wide tube, there is only one (neutrally) stable solution. This solution corresponds to the number of poles that provides maximal flame velocity, i.e.,

$$P_m = \text{Int}\left(\frac{\nu}{2\sigma} + \frac{1}{2}\right),$$

$\text{Int}(x)$ denoting the integer part of x . Thus, the flame velocity increase $W_s \equiv |\partial\phi_0/\partial\tau|$ of the stable solution can be written as

$$W_s = 4W_m \frac{\sigma P_m}{\nu} \left(1 - \frac{\sigma P_m}{\nu}\right), \quad (55)$$

where

$$W_m = \frac{\chi\nu^2}{4}. \quad (56)$$

It is seen from Eq. (51) that the spectrum of front perturbations is effectively cut off at the wavelength

$$\lambda = \frac{2\pi\varepsilon|\delta|}{\nu}, \quad (57)$$

representing the characteristic dimension of the flame cellular structure.

Fig. 1 compares the theoretical dependence of the maximal flame velocity increase W_m on the gas expansion coefficient, given by Eq. (56), with the results of numerical experiments [14]. For comparison, we show also the corresponding dependence calculated on the basis of the Sivashinsky equation. Dependence of the effective cut-off wavelength on the expansion coefficient is shown in Fig. 2. We see that even beyond of its range of applicability, Eq. (51) provides reasonable qualitative description of flames with the expansion coefficients of practical interest. Complete investigation of the LD-instability on the basis of this equation will be given elsewhere.

V. CONCLUSIONS

The main result of our work is the nonlinear non-stationary equation (46) which describes development of the Landau-Darrieus instability in the second order of nonlinearity. We have derived this equation on the basis of the only assumption of smallness of the flame front slope. Thus, nonlinear evolution of the front perturbations generally obeys Eq. (46) which takes even simpler form (51) if one is only interested in dynamics of the exponentially growing LD-solutions. It is important to stress that no assumption concerning the value of the flame front position has been used in the derivation. Therefore, Eq. (46) can be applied not only to plane flames, but also to the problem of unstable evolution of any flame configuration, provided that the front slope is small.

It is also worth of emphasis that Eq. (46) is obtained without any assumptions about the structure of the gas flow downstream. Thus, this equation is the direct consequence of the exact hydrodynamic equations for the flow fields in the bulk, and conservation laws at the flame front. We would like to stress also once again that the universal form of Eq. (46) is the distinguishing property of the second order approximation. In the general case, equation for the flame front should contain also information about the flow of the burnt matter in the bulk. Indeed, as was shown in Ref. [8], the boundary conditions for the burnt matter are invoked in the course of derivation of the equation already at the third order. This universality of Eq. (46) allows it to be widely applied to the study of flames with arbitrary front configuration, propagating in tubes with complex geometries.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to V. Lvov and G. Sivashinsky for interesting discussions. This research was supported in part by Swedish Ministry of Industry (Energimyndigheten, contract P 12503-1), by the Swedish Research Council (contract E5106-1494/2001), and by the Swedish Royal Academy of Sciences. Support from the STINT Fellowship program is also gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. D. Landau, Acta Physicochimica URSS **19**, 77 (1944).
- [2] G. Darrieus, unpublished work presented at La Technique Moderne, and at Le Congrès de Mécanique Appliquée, (1938) and (1945).
- [3] P. Pelce and P. Clavin, J. Fluid Mech. **124**, 219 (1982).
- [4] M. Matalon and B. J. Matkowsky, J. Fluid Mech. **124**, 239 (1982).
- [5] S. K. Zhdanov and B. A. Trubnikov, J. Exp. Theor. Phys. **68**, 65 (1989).
- [6] G. Joulin, J. Exp. Theor. Phys. **73**, 234 (1991).
- [7] L.D. Landau, E.M. Lifshitz, *Fluid Mechanics* (Pergamon, Oxford, 1987).
- [8] K. A. Kazakov, M. A. Liberman, physics/0106076.
- [9] G. I. Sivashinsky, Acta Astronaut., **4**, 1177 (1977).
- [10] O. Thual, U. Frish, and M. Henon, J. Phys. (France) **46**, 1485 (1985).
- [11] M. Rahibe, N. Aubry, G. I. Sivashinsky, and R. Lima, Phys. Rev. **E52**, 3675 (1995).
- [12] M. Rahibe, N. Aubry, and G. I. Sivashinsky, Phys. Rev. **E54**, 4958 (1996).
- [13] M. Rahibe, N. Aubry, and G. I. Sivashinsky, Combust. Theory Modelling **2**, 19 (1998).
- [14] V. V. Bychkov, S. M. Golberg, M. A. Liberman, and L. E. Eriksson, Phys. Rev. **E54**, 3713 (1996).

FIGURES




FIG. 1. Maximal flame velocity increase W_m versus the gas expansion coefficient, given by Eq. (56); the marks are according to Ref. [8]. Accuracy of the experimental results is about 20%.




FIG. 2. The cut-off wavelength scaled on the flame thickness versus the expansion coefficient, given by Eq. (57).